

Solutions to Exercise 5

Problem 1. The following algorithm implements a contention manager that transforms any obstruction-free algorithm into a wait-free one:

uses: $T[1, \dots, N]$ —array of registers, $Executing[1, \dots, N]$ —atomic wait-free snapshot object

initially: $T[1, \dots, N] \leftarrow \perp$, $Executing[1, \dots, N] \leftarrow \perp$

upon try_i **do**

if $T[i] = \perp$ **then** $T[i] \leftarrow \text{GetTimestamp}()$

repeat

$sact_i \leftarrow \{ p_j \mid T[j] \neq \perp \wedge p_j \notin \diamond \mathcal{P}.suspected_i \}$

$Executing.update(i, \perp)$

$leader_i \leftarrow$ the process in $sact_i$ with the lowest timestamp $T[leader_i]$

if $leader_i = i$ **then** $Executing.update(i, i)$

until $Executing.scan()$ contains only i and \perp , \forall processes $\in sact_i$

upon $resign_i$ **do**

$T[i] \leftarrow \perp$

$Executing.update(i, \perp)$

The algorithm uses a procedure $\text{GetTimestamp}()$ that generates *unique* timestamps. We assume that if a process gets a timestamp t from $\text{GetTimestamp}()$, then no process can get a timestamp lower than t infinitely many times. Thus, we can easily implement $\text{GetTimestamp}()$ using only registers (or even without using any shared objects). For example, we can use the output of a counter (see the lecture notes on how to implement a counter from registers) combined with a process id (to ensure that timestamps are unique). The algorithm also uses a wait-free, atomic snapshot object to store the process that should be executing next (or is currently executing) in order to avoid two processes executing concurrently.