

Exercise 1:

N.B: to avoid any confusion, replace "processor i may have failed or not" by "nothing can be said about processor i" (otherwise you can troll with "may or may not have is always true" :))

- a. False: some processors can fail because of another reason than the failure of i. Nothing can be said about processor i.
- b. True. (see a)
- c. False, for the same reason.
- d. False. If no processor $j \neq i$ fails, this implies "some processor $j \neq i$ does not fail" which is the negation of "all processors $j \neq i$ fail" which, by contraposition (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ik2x_hOEXDw), implies the negation of "processor i fails" which is "processor i does not fail"
- e. False
- f. True (this implies the only correct formulation of the contraposition)
- g. False. Even if the failure of processor i is a cause of the failure of all processors $j \neq i$, it is not **necessarily** the only cause (if \neq if and only if).
- h. True. Nothing can be said about i.
- i: False
- j: False: "if some processor $j \neq i$ does not fail" is the beginning of the contraposition (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ik2x_hOEXDw) of statement #, which implies that "processor i has not failed"
- k: False
- l: True, this is the only correct formulation of the contraposition.

As the only correct formulation of the contraposition, (l) not only implies, but is equivalent to #.

Correct answers are (l) and (f).

Exercise 2:

- a. False for the same reason as ex1
- b. True for the same reason as ex1
- c. False for the same reason as ex1
- d. Now this becomes True because of the "eventually".
- e. False.
- f. This becomes false because of the "eventually".
- g. False (other cause possible)
- h. True same reason as Ex1
- i: False idem
- j: True, nothing can be said about processor i because of the "eventually".
- k: False
- l: False, nothing can be said about i because of the "eventually"

None of them implies #

Exercise 3:

Here is a sketch of a (more than) acceptable prove for the exam:

Let $P(n)$ be proposition "the number of edges in a complete graph of n vertices is $n(n-1)/2$ "

We prove by induction that $P(n)$ is valid for every integer $n \geq 1$:

Base case:

for $n=1$, the number of edges is equal to 0, which corresponds to " $P(1)$ is true".

Induction:

assume that $P(n)$ is true for a certain $n \geq 1$,

Let G be an $n+1$ complete graph

Let v be any vertex in G , and $G' = G - \{v\}$, you are left with 1 vertex (v) and an n -vertices complete graph G' , the number of edges incoming to v is n (one edge to all the n vertices of G' , since G is complete) , and by the induction hypothesis, since G' is an n -vertices complete graph, it contains $n(n-1)/2$ vertices

leading to a total of $n + n(n-1)/2 = (n+1)n/2$, which proves $P(n+1)$

Since $P(n)$ implies $P(n+1)$ and $P(1)$ is true, $P(n)$ is true for every integer $n \geq 1$.